| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/589,410 | MOLTENI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Paul A. Zucker | 1621 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Daniel E. Raymond</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 July 2010 | Time: <u>12:15</u> | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 1,2, 5,6,7,10 and 12 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /Paul A. Zucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The EXaminer called Mr. Raymond to dicuss possible amenments to put the claims in condition fo allowance. Mr. Raymond agreed to authorize the following Examiner's Amendment:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 1, 2, 7 and 10 have been canceled.

Claim 5, line 1: The number [1] has been deleted and replaced with the number -- 12 --.

Claim 6, line 1: The entire text of claim 6 has been deleted and replaced with the following text -- A method for modulation of LXR activity, which method comprises administering to an animal an effective amount of a compound of claim 12. --. .